

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,511	07/23/2003	Giuseppe Cereda	Q76191	7413
23373 7590 11/13/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			HAILE, FEBEN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,511	CEREDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Feben M. Haile	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Au	ugust 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In view of applicant's amendment filed August 30, 2007, the status of the application is still pending with respect to claims 1-11.
- 2. The amendment filed is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-11 based upon Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052) and Fiedziuszko et al. (US 6,472,951) as set forth in the last Office action because: the material added to the claims fail to further clarify a distinction between the Applicants invention and the cited references, thus the subject matter is not patentable.
- 3. The Examiner acknowledges the corrections to claims 5 and 10, thus the objection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 6-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), hereinafter referred to as Sawdey.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Sawdey discloses a manifold (figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; a manifold 36), filter ports and filter means, with each filter being

connected to the manifold at a corresponding one of said ports (figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filters 56, 58, and 40 are connected to the manifold 36 through input/output ports), wherein said filter means comprises: at least one filter comprising a first resonant cavity and a further resonant cavity (figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the bandpass filters may be constructed with two cavities), and at least one filter head having only a single resonant cavity which this the same as the first resonant cavity of said at least one filter (figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the filter is separated into two parts by a transverse wall, with each side having the cavity), wherein said at least one filter head is configured as to be connectable (either to a corresponding covering for short circuit purposes or) to a filter tail in order to provide full filter functionality (figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filter 40 interconnects the manifold 36 to a manifold 34).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter 40 separated into two parts by a transverse wall could be a filter head and a filter tail that connects to the manifold 36 and a filter tail that connects to the manifold 34. The motivation is to provide full filter functionality in a unitary structure of reduced size and mass to separate and combine electromagnetic signals in a satellite communication system.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head comprises at least a first coupling in addition to said first cavity (figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head further comprises a second coupling (figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that since the filter 40 connects to the manifold 36 and the manifold 34 there would have to be 2 coupling apertures. The motivation is to provide full filter functionality in a unitary structure of reduced size and mass to separate and combine electromagnetic signals in a satellite communication system.

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head is an integral part of the manifold (figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filter 40 interconnects the manifold 36 to the manifold 34).

Regarding claim 10, Sawdey discloses the at least one filter head is made through standard waveguide technology, and the corresponding at least one filter tail is made by a technology selected from the group consisting H-plane technology (and DR technology to make the device more compact) (page 2 paragraph 0017; each manifold is constructed of a section of a waveguide of rectangular cross-section).

As the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that the waveguide could have been implanted using a standard technology H-Plane.

Regarding claim 11, Sawdey discloses a branching unit comprising one or more reconfigurable multiplexers (figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0014; a satellite carries

electronic equipment that includes a multiplexer with interconnectable manifolds).

5. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), hereinafter referred to as Sawdey, in view of Fiedziuszko et al. (US 6,472,951), hereinafter referred to as Fiedziuszko.

Regarding claim 5, Sawdey discloses the limitations of the base claim.

Sawdey fails to explicitly suggest wherein the covering plate is at a distance with respect to the manifold axis.

Fiedziuszko teaches a multiplexer assembled with waveguides, including cavity filters, coupled to a manifold (figure 1 and column 2 lines 39-46), where the waveguides are positioned along the longitudinal dimension of the manifold at distances z, y, and z (column 2 lines 60-62).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the manifold spacing adjustment taught by Fiedziuszko into the multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey. The motivation for such a modification is to assist in the tuning of the overall system thereby optimizing performance of the multiplexer while minimizing losses.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed August 30, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant respectfully traverses that the multiplexer as including a manifold, at least one multi-cavity filter, and at least one filter head having only a single resonant cavity, and how the filter head may be connected is neither shown nor suggested in the art of record. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant. Sawdey discloses a multiplexer comprising a manifold with a connecting filter constructed of two cavities separated by a transverse wall. With respect to figure 1, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a filter 40 separated into two parts by a transverse wall could be a filter head and a filter tail that connects to the manifold 36 and a filter tail that connects to the manifold 34. Therefore as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that the prior art of record indeed does render the Applicant's invention obvious.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,511

Art Unit: 2616

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Feben M. Haile whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3072. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 7

supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1013012007

SUPERIOR OF TENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600